

Reviewer's Guide

REVISTA DE PEDAGOGIE – JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGY (RevPed)

According to the terms of the **peer review agreement** we kindly seek your support to review a paper submitted to the RevPed.

As a member of the *RevPed* reviewers' team please consider the attached paper.

If you are available and can review the paper in the following 3 weeks please let us know in an email asap. If you cannot, please contact us so that we refer to another reviewer.

The paper is attached as a Microsoft Word file with the hope you can review it. At the end of the review process (or in case you do not agree on supporting this process) we kindly ask you to delete this file from your computer.

We remind you that according to **RevPed editorial policy** the peer review process is double blind; the editorial board solely can facilitate the communication between the reviewer and the author(s), making sure the whole approach is confidential.

Please find review forms in the attach (**Form A** for empirical research, **Form B** for theoretical studies). You are expected to write a detailed review that offer answers to the following questions:

- To what extent is the paper relevant for RevPed (in terms of scope, quality, target audience, research contribution)?
- To what extent is the justification of the research/ theoretical issue pertinent?
- Is the article based on up-to-date references/ literature that are relevant for the chosen topic? Are these legitimately used in the paper? Is there a coherent justification for the theoretical framework?
- In case of an empirical research how do you consider the methodology quality? Is the latter coherent, valid and clearly stated?
- In case of a theoretical study, is the author's position clearly stated with respect to the chosen topic?
- How are the results presented? Are they sustained by quality data and have they a potential impact on education, in terms of policies and practices?
- How do you appreciate the clarity of the paper? Is the language correct and is the text coherent and readable for the potential readers?

By the end of the review process deadline please send the filled in form via email (*revped@ise.ro*), as a Microsoft Word attachment.

The editor and author(s) will take into account any suggestion you make in order to improve the quality of the work or to reject the manuscript according to your final resolution:

- A. The article is accepted with minor revision and/or layout check.
- B. The article is to be reassessed by the author in the light of the stipulated recommendations in the form.
- C. The article is rejected, no further analysis is needed.